

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| 10/616,959 | 07/11/2003 | Elisabeth Defossa | 38005-0178 | 38005-0178 2135 | |
| 5487 | 7590 04/10/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ROSS J. OF | EHLER | DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON | | | |
| AVENTIS P | HARMACEUTICALS IN | C. | | | |
| 1041 ROUTI | E 202-206 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MAIL CODE: D303A | | | 1625 | | |
| BRIDGEWA | TER, NJ 08807 | | D. (777) () () () () () () () () | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No |) . | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/616,959 | | DEFOSSA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Zinna Northing | on Davis | 1625 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status 4\⊠ | Pagagaive to communication(s) filed on 06 / | anuan, 2006 | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | •— | | | | a manita ia | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| · · · _ | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| · | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) [_ 5) [_ 6) [| Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/616,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending.

2. Claims 1-3 (in part), 5, 6 and 8-12 are withdrawn from consideration. At claims 1-3, R18 and R19 do not form a ring.

- 3. Based upon Applicant's Remarks filed January 6, 2006, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Agro-Kanesho Co., LTD is withdrawn.
- 4. Applicants submit that once the compounds of the present invention are found to be novel and nonobvious, at least one method claim should be rejoined pursuant to linking claim practice even though Applicant has currently designated all method claims as "withdrawn" in accordance with the current restriction requirement.
- 5. It is the Examiner's position that one method claim will be rejoined pursuant to linking claim practice once the compound of the present invention is found to be allowable.
- 6. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 7. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Application/Control Number: 10/616,959 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b).

- 8. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 9. The indicated allowability of claims 3, 4 and 7 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Brouwer et al (Reference N). The rejection based on the newly cited reference(s) follows.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brouwer et al (Reference N, cited by the Examiner).

The instantly claimed compounds are disclosed. At page 1, see formula (I). At pages 2-6, see the various named species.

At claim 1, the proviso does not exclude these prior art compounds. See R8 is substituted or unsubstituted NH-phenyl.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zinna N. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-

0682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

13. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zinna Northington Davis
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

znd 04.03.2006